



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,186	07/19/2001	Hideji Tajima	10287.46	9114
27683	7590	09/14/2005	EXAMINER	
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 901 MAIN STREET, SUITE 3100 DALLAS, TX 75202			CROSS, LATOYA I	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1743	

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/909,186	TAJIMA, HIDEJI
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Jill A. Warden	1743

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Jill A. Warden.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Randall Brown.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 September 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*None*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative called to indicate that there were some confusing items in the file. With no response from an after final filed in February, applicant's filed a request for continued examination in June. On June 23, 2005,, an Office action was mailed, followed by a second Office action on September 9, 2005, which was identical to the first. Examiner indicated that an interview summary from April, which re-opened prosecution on the application was missing from the image file wrapper. No RCE was necessary and the Office action mailed June 23 was the action which re-opened prosecution on the file. Supervisor Warden indicated that the duplicate Office action mailed September 9, 2005 would be withdrawn and the RCE would be removed from the file. Applicants need to respond to the Office action filed June 23, 2005 and may request refund of the RCE fee charged them, as no such RCE was needed. A copy of the April 18, 2005 Interview Summary is attached hereto and will be entered into the image file wrapper..